Multi-jurisdictional Contractor Advisory Committee (CAC) Meeting Facet Joint and Medial Nerve Branch Procedures Questionnaire

Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs) will host a Multi-jurisdictional Contractor Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting regarding facet joint and medial nerve branch procedures. The purpose of this meeting is to obtain recommendations regarding zygapophyseal (aka facet) joint injection management of chronic, nonresponsive, and nonmalignant cervical, thoracic, and lumbar spinal pain of facet joint origin to relieve pain and improve functioning in Medicare beneficiaries.

Voting Questions

For each voting question, please use the following scale identifying your level of confidence - with a score of 1 being low or no confidence and 5 representing high confidence.

Using this scale, please rate your confidence in the clinical literature for each question and cite the literature and rationale for your score. A score of ≥2.5 is considered intermediate confidence that there_is robust clinical literature to support the question.

Section 1: Procedure Efficacy

b. Lumbar Facet (1-5): _____c. Thoracic Facet (1-5): _____

This section is to access the evidence for the efficacy of the various facet joint interventions.

1.	What is your level of confidence there is robust clinical literature to support the use of <i>diagnostic</i> facet joint injections? Score (1-5):
2.	What is your level of confidence; there is robust clinical literature to support the use of therapeutic facet joint injections to relieve pain and improve functioning? Score (1-5):
3.	Does the clinical literature support the use of <i>therapeutic</i> intra-articular facet joint injections as robustly as medial branch block _facet joint injections? Score (1-5):
4.	Does the clinical literature support the safety of repeat facet joint injections with steroids beyond three injections per year? Score (1-5):
5.	What is your confidence in the clinical literature to support the efficacy of facet joint interventions in each of the following regions? a. Cervical Facet (1-5):

Section 2: Patient Selection

The purpose of this section is to evaluate the evidence to determine who are the right patients for the procedures and criteria can help us determine if patients are selected appropriately.

tne	procedures and	d criteria can help us determine if patients are selected appropriately.
1.	criteria are nec	ture support the statement: rigorous beneficiary selection and inclusion essary to reduce false-positive diagnoses and/or false-positive error rates set joint injections and procedures?
	YES	NO
	each of the followed Medicare bene	juestions are to access your level of confidence in the clinical literature for owing inclusion criteria for consideration of facet joint blocks for ficiaries with chronic, axial, nonresponsive, nonmalignant cervical, umbar spinal pain of facet joint origin:
2.	beneficiary with or myelopathy)	n-specific assessment of subjective "pain reduction" reported by a nonspecific chronic axial spine pain (not associated with radiculopathy is a reliable and valid measure of improvement in pain following a facet dial branch block injection?
3.	support a minir score [VAS] or	Itermediate confidence (>2.5) that there is adequate clinical literature to mal numeric "pain level" (Numerical Rating Scale [NRS], visual analog similar) threshold (i.e., 6/10) to identify an individuals' pain level before a ficiary is eligible for a facet joint injection or procedure?
	YES	NO
	If YES, what so	coring system and the minimal score best supported by the literature?
4.	(≥ 2.5) the evid beneficiary's ch	the above question is no, do you have at least intermediate confidence lence support that inclusion criteria terminology indicate that the Medicare pronic, nonresponsive, and nonmalignant spinal pain be documented to ugh to cause some degree of moderate to severe functional deficit?
	YES	NO
	If YES, how do	es the evidence best define functional deficit?
5.		al literature support conservative treatment for a minimum of 3 months as pefore facet injections and/or medial branch block injections?

6.	Do yo	u agree the following modalities are considered conservative treatment?
	a.	Integrative treatments (such as acupuncture and spinal manipulation) YES NO
	b.	Physical treatments (usually through physical therapy and include exercise, heat and cold modalities, massage) YES NO
	C.	Medications (such as NSAIDs, antidepressants)
	d.	YES NO Others (nutrition, weight loss, sleep hygiene) YES NO
7.	subjec	the clinical literature support the use of inclusion criteria for facet blocks for with tive chronic axial spine pain of greater than three months duration? (1-5):
8.	and ph	the clinical literature support at least intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) that history hysical examination can be used to identify a painful facet joint as the primary of pain?
	YES	NO
9.		he clinical literature support with at least intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) a ement for imaging before prognostic blocks?
	YES	NO
	If yes,	what imaging studies are best supported in the literature?
10.	docum	the clinical literature support with at least intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) objective tentation (e.g., a daily pain diary) should be required to measure the sustained stage of improvement following facet joint injections to relieve pain and improve on?
	YES	NO
11.	literatu and pe	onfident that there is at least intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) in the clinical are to support the terminology of temporary pain relief, long-lasting pain relief, ermanent pain relief is a reasonable, reliable, and meaningful health outcome to provide an objective clinical assessment for facet-mediated pain relief?
	YES	NO
12	. Does	the clinical literature support the definitions for the following terms?

a.	minimum dura	ain relief is defined as pain relief greater than 80% based on the tion of action/relief consistent with the local anesthetic agent ng the therapeutic zygapophyseal joint injection procedure and/or blocks?
	YES	NO
	If NO, what pe	rcentage would the literature recommend?
b.	pain relief for a	pain relief is defined as pain relief consistent greater than 50% at least twelve (12) weeks from the prior therapeutic zygapophysea procedure and/or medial branch blocks
	YES	NO
	If NO, what du	ration of weeks would the literature support?
C.	relief for at lea	ain relief is defined as pain relief consistent greater than 50% pain st twenty-six (26) weeks from the prior therapeutic zygapophyseal procedure and/or medial branch blocks
	If NO, what du	ration of weeks would the literature support?
joint pr	ocedures: I have at least support that a	idence in the clinical literature to support exclusion criteria for facet intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) that there is clinical literature to Medicare beneficiary with mild pain or mild functional deficits
	YES	treated with facet joint procedure?
b.	I have at least literature to su management of	intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) that there is not sufficient clinical pport the use of zygapophyseal joint injection procedures for the of spinal pain in Medicare beneficiaries with clinical findings of ain syndrome(s) with widespread diffuse pain ?
	YES	NO
C.	to support that apply selection	least intermediate confidence (≥ 2.5) that there is clinical literature a physician must include a <u>rigorous beneficiary evaluation and criteria</u> to those Medicare beneficiaries with centralized pain with widespread diffuse pain before the use of providing

	zygapophyseal joint injection procedures for the management of chronic, axial, nonresponsive, and nonmalignant spinal pain. YES NO
	If YES, what criteria are supported?
14. Is	there clinical evidence to support additional inclusion or exclusion criteria?
Section 3	: Procedure Related Questions
1.	What is your level of confidence (1-5) based on the clinical literature to support that the following procedures should not be used in the <u>same or close location</u> and <u>in</u> conjunction with a zygapophyseal joint injection procedure to reduce false-positive diagnoses and/or false-positive error rates in Medicare beneficiaries with spinal pain of facet joint origin?
	 a. Trigger point injections Score (1-5): b. Epidural injections Score (1-5): c. SI joint injections Score (1-5): d. Selective nerve root blocks Score (1-5): e. Sympathetic ganglion blocks Score (1-5): f. Other injections, celiac plexus blocks, trigeminal nerve blocks, etc. Score (1-5):
2.	I am confident that there is clinical literature to support the use of a series of two (2) medial branch blocks [MBBs] are needed to diagnose facet pain and establish consistency of test results due to high false-positive rate of a single MBB injection? Score (1-5):
	a. What is your level of confidence the clinical literature supports the use of two (2) medial branch blocks [MBBs] test results need to have objective documentation (e.g., a pain diary) to support the Medicare beneficiary had a minimum of 80% temporary pain relief of first and second MBB pain levels (with the duration of relief being consistent with the agent used) or objective documentation (i.e., a pain diary) to support a minimum of at least 50% sustained improvement in pain and the ability to perform previously painful movements and ADLs?

Score (1-5): ____

3.	What is your level of confidence based on the clinical literature to support subsequent therapeutic intraarticular injections or medial branch blocks at the previously injected facet joints or medial branch blocks (i.e., the same anatomic site) are effective to reduce pain and improve function? Score (1-5):		
	a.	What is your level of confidence based on the clinical literature if the subsequent facet joint intraarticular injections or medial branch blocks need to have objective documentation (e.g., a pain diary) to show a minimum of 80% sustained relief of the first and second MBB pain levels (with the duration of relief being consistent with the agent used)? Score (1-5):	
	b.	What is your level of confidence based on the clinical literature if the subsequent facet joint intraarticular injections or medial branch blocks need to have objective documentation (e.g., a pain diary) to support a minimum of at least 50% sustained improvement in pain and in the ability to perform previously painful movements and ADLs for at least three months? Score (1-5):	
4.		s your level of confidence based on the clinical literature regarding the ncy of repeat injections?	
	a.	Diagnostic injections should be a minimum of 28 days apart? Score (1-5):	
	b.	Therapeutic injections should be a minimum of 3 months apart? Score (1-5):	
	C.	Interventional procedures at different regions should be performed a minimum of 2 weeks apart? Score (1-5):	
	d.	In the treatment phase, interventional procedures should be repeated only if medically necessary and not to exceed four times in one year? Score (1-5):	
	e.	For facet joint neurolysis frequency would be only of medically necessary at a minimum of 6 months apart? Score (1-5):	
5.	branch (diagn	s your confidence in the clinical literature to support facet injection or medial a blocks being allowed for three (3) spinal levels per anatomic regions ostic or therapeutic) in one session? (1-5):	
6.	subse anator	s your level of confidence (1-5) the clinical literature supports that when equent thermal medial branch radiofrequency neurotomies at the same mic site are considered medically reasonable and necessary if the facet joint vation has objective documentation (e.g., a pain diary) to show a minimum of	

	80% from diagnostic injections (with the duration of relief being consistent with the agent used) or objective documentation (e.g., a pain diary) to show a minimum of at least 50% sustained improvement in pain and in the ability to perform previously painful movements and ADLs for at least six months. Score (1-5):
	 Does the literature support repeat imaging for repeat thermal medial branch radiofrequency neurotomies? Score (1-5):
	 Does the literature support a requirement to have repeat diagnostic injections prior to repeating thermal medial branch radiofrequency neurotomies? Score (1-5):
7.	Are there any evidence-based strategies to improve the safety and reduce complications associated with facet joint injections and procedures?
	YES NO
8.	What is your confidence in the clinical literature to support a limitation of injection volume <0.5 ml for medical branch block and volumes <1.5ml for intraarticular injections? Score 1-5
9.	What is your confidence in the clinical literature to support that facet joint interventions (diagnostic or therapeutic) must be performed under fluoroscopic or CT guidance? Score 1-5
10	. What is your confidence that there is sufficient clinical literature to support facet joint interventions (diagnostic or therapeutic) can be performed under ultrasound guidance? Score 1-5
11.	. What is your confidence based on the clinical literature to support to use of a facet joint cyst rupture to provide facet mediated pain relief? Score 1-5
12.	. What is your confidence based on existing literature in the placement of intrafacet implants? Score 1-5